

Print ISSN: 0973-1970 **Online ISSN:** 0974-4487



Indian Internet Journal of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology

Vol. 20, No. 3&4, July-December 2022

Contents	Page No.
Editorial Article	
Medical Termination of Pregnancy Act 1971 and its Recent Amendments Anil Garg and Nisha Goyal	41-44
Original Articles	
An Epidemiological Burns Autopsy Study Along with its Source and Severity in a Tertiary Care Hospita Sangram Singh Yadav, Gaurav Sharma, Yogesh Kumar, Anil Garg and Balraj Sharma	.1 45-52
Epidemiological and Pattern of Injuries due to Fall from Height: A Retrospective Study Balraj Sharma, Anil Garg, Gaurav Sharma and Pawan Mittal	53-57
Study of Coronary Arteries' Atherosclerosis in Autopsies Conducted at BPS GMC (W), Khanpur Kalan, S Vikas Kumar Grover, Anil Garg, Parveen Rana Kundu and Gaurav Sharma	Sonipat 58-65
Estimation of Stature by Measuring Tibial Length in Young Adults: A Community-Based Approach <i>Preeti Tiwari and Arijit Datta</i>	66-71
Pattern of Injuries in Road Traffic Accident Death Cases in Western Haryana-An Autopsy Study at Ma Agrasen Medical College, Agroha, Hisar Sunil Aggarwal and Vijay Kumar Vohra	haraja 72-77
Correlation of Time Since Death with Morphological Changes in Neutrophils Rahul Mighani, Anil Garg, Kulwant Singh, Gaurav Sharma, Yogesh Kumar and Balraj Sharma	78-83
Role of Autopsy in Allegations of Medical Negligence with Analysis of the Current Trends <i>Monisha Pradhan, Amandeep Kaur and Sreenivas M.</i>	84-90
Case Report	
Body Below the Train: An Autopsy in Vain? A Case Report Ruchi Tanwar, Vinod Kumar and Kuldeep Kumar	91-95
Case Series	
Post Traumatic Spinal Cord Transsection – A Case Series Shukla Srushti, Goswami Dhara and Datta Ariiit	96-100

ICFMT, its editor and publisher disclaim responsibility and liability for any statement of fact and option, originality of contents and of any copyright violations by the author.

Printed & Published by: Diva Enterprises Pvt. Ltd. on behalf of Indian Congress of Forensic Medicine and Toxicology Printed at Spectrum, 208 A/14A, Savitri Nagar, New Delhi 110 017, Published at Diva Enterprises Pvt. Ltd., B-9, A-Block, L.S.C., Naraina Vihar, New Delhi 110028, India, Editor-in-Chief Prof. Anil Garg



Original Article

Estimation of Stature by Measuring Tibial Length in Young Adults: A Community-Based Approach

Preeti Tiwari¹ and Arijit Datta^{2*}

¹Assistant Professor, ²Associate Professor, Community Medicine, Pramukh Swami Medical College, Bhaikaka University, Karamsad, Gujarat, India *Corresponding author email id: arijitdatta18@gmail.com

Received: 24-09-2022. Accepted: 31-01-2023

ABSTRACT

Background: The "anatomical" and "mathematical" methods are the two main types of adult stature estimation methods available. Stature is considered one of the "big four" parameters for profiling individuals in cases of forensic anthropology. However, the most reliable available methods for stature estimation require the preservation of long bones. Lower extremities length assumes a significant part in the assessment of the height of an individual. Aim: Measurement of tibial length to estimate the stature in young adults. **Material & Methodology:** A correlation study was conducted with the approval from the institutional ethics committee during the period of October 2014 to May 2017 among 500 adults. All the right-handed males and females in the age group of 22–40 years were included in the study. **Results:** In the study, the mean tibial length in males was 37.04 ± 1.00 cm and in females was 35.30 ± 1.97 cm. Males had an average height of 162.51 ± 7.56 cm, whereas females had an average height of 153.59 ± 5.21 cm. The study also reveals that tibial length is a better predictor among males than females to estimate stature. **Conclusion:** Tibial length is a reliable measurement for estimating stature with quite a good correlation coefficient value of 0.623.

Keywords: Stature, Tibial length, Identification

INTRODUCTION

Disasters management usually involves a four-phase cycle including mitigation, preparedness, response, and recovery. Disaster response includes risk management, resource management, impact reduction, search, rescue, and the crucial phase of recovery of the dead and disaster victim identification. Disaster Victim Identification is the method used to identify victims of mass casualty incidents, either man-made or natural. The correct identification of a decedent is vital not only for humanitarian and emotional reasons for the next of kin but also for legal and administrative ones.

Determination of sex, age, and stature is the principal task for setting up the natural profile of a person, which helps to establish a positive individual identification^[1]. Stature is considered as one of the "big four" parameters for the biological profiling of individuals in cases of forensic anthropology. However, the most

reliable available methods for stature estimation require the preservation of long bones ^[2]. Since the relation between long bones and height is impacted by nationality and sexual orientation of a person, there are no all-around pertinent formulae for height assessment from the length of long bones ^[3,4]. Irrespective of various hereditary and sex varieties seen in India, an endeavor has been made in the current investigation for assessment of stature in a village area of Dakshin Karnataka^[5]. Lower extremities length assumes a significant part to assess the stature of an individual and subsequently most prescient equations are based on the length of the tibia, femur, and fibula ^[6,7]. This research was done in collaboration with the Department of Community Medicine and Forensic Medicine, Yenepoya Medical College, Mangalore, to determine the stature of the individual by using percutaneous tibial length among the local community.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was directed in Harekela town with the endorsement of the institutional Ethical Committee among 500 adults comprising 250 males and 250 females between the age group of 22-40 years. To ascertain the sample size, the correlation coefficient value (r-value) was chosen, which was the least among every one of the past studies. The correlation coefficient value found was 0.546 at 5% of the level of significance with the power of study as 90% ^[8]. Considering the *r*-value as 0.546, the sample size was discovered to be 152. The study correlation coefficient value was expected as 0.3 at a 5% level of significance at 80% of the power. The sample size was discovered to be 438, however, for better result round figure of 500 example size was taken where 250 were males and 250 were females.

The sample size of the present study was calculating using the following formulae:

$$Z_{\alpha} = 1.96 \text{ at } 5\% \text{ level of significance}$$
$$Z_{\beta} = 0.8416 \text{ at } 80\% \text{ power}$$
$$C = 0.5 \ln \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right)$$
$$r = 0.30$$

All the right-handed males and females in the age group of 22–40 years were included in the study; a systematic random sampling method was adopted to select the participants for the study ^[9]. Individuals having congenital defects on the right lower limb, pregnant women, previous history of fracture in the lower limb, or any bony malformation were excluded from the study.

The procedure and purpose of the study were thoroughly informed and explained to the study population in their language. The information sheet was given to the study group where detailed information regarding the importance of the study was mentioned and written informed consent was taken from all study subjects. Measurements of female participants were taken in the presence of a female colleague. Data were collected by using stadiometer and digital sliding caliper.

Techniques of Estimating Stature

Stature was estimated precisely by utilizing a stadiometer where participants were made to remain in erect posture on the board of a standard stadiometer platform by keeping the foot in close contact with no footwear and arms hanging by the side, the trunk braced along the vertical board and eyes gazing directly ahead and face in Frankfurt plane. The measurement was taken as the longest distance from the floor to the vertex of the head by carrying the flat sliding bar to the vertex ^[10].

Technique of Measuring Tibial Length

The tibial length was estimated utilizing a computerized sliding caliper where subjects were made to sit on a

chair with the knee in semi-flexed position and the foot was inverted incompletely to loosen up the delicate tissues which assist with making the bony milestone more conspicuous, at that point tibial length was estimated from most prominent palpable portion of medial epicondyle of the tibia to tip of the medial malleolus ^[11].

RESULTS

The Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of tibial length and stature for males and females. The mean tibial length in males was 37.04 ± 1.00 cm and in females was 35.30 ± 1.97 cm. Males had an average height of 162.51 ± 7.56 cm, whereas females had an average height of 153.59 ± 5.21 cm. The Table 2 shows that tibial length is having a significant correlation with stature among study participants. The Table 3 reveals that both males and females are having a significant correlation between stature and tibial length. Table 3 shows the *R* square value of predictor for tibial length. In the case of males, it is 42% and for females

 Table 1: Sex-wise distribution of TBL and stature with

 mean and standard deviation

Variables	Mean (cm)	Standard deviation	<i>P</i> -value
TBL			
Male (n=249)	37.04	1.00	
Female (n=251)	35.30	1.97	<0.001
Total (n=500)	36.16	1.79	
Stature			
Male (n=249)	162.51	7.56	
Female (n=251)	153.59	5.21	<0.001
Total (n=500)	158.03	7.87]

Abbreviation: TBL, Tibial length

Table	2:	Correlation	of	stature	with	tibial	length

Tibial length	Karl–Pearson Correlation Coefficient	<i>P</i> -value
Stature	0.623	< 0.001

 Table 3: Correlation of stature and tibial length according to gender

Sex	R	R Square	Adjusted <i>R</i> Square	ANOVA <i>P</i> value
Female	0.478ª	0.228	0.225	<0.001
Male	0.648ª	0.420	0.417	<0.001
Total	0.623ª	0.388	0.387	<0.001

22.8%. For the whole population, the R square value is found to be 38.8%. Here, it is seen that for males tibial length is a better predictor.

The Table 4 generated stature estimation from tibia using regression analysis as follows:

- In case of males, Stature = 4.923 × Tibial length 19.819.
- In case of females, Stature = 109.023 + 1.262 × Tibial length.
- In case of whole population, Stature = 58.822 + 2.743 × Tibial length.

DISCUSSION

The current study was conducted among 500 individuals from the rural Mangalore population, which showed a mean age of 31 ± 5 years, out of those 249 were males and 251 were females with a mean age of 31 \pm 5 years, and 32 ± 6 years, respectively. According to a study done by Bardale et al. for measuring stature which reveals the mean age of the male population was 21.52 years and the mean age of the female population was 20.08 years ^[12].

We found that in our examination mean stature among 500 individuals was 158.03 ± 7.87 cm. Stature among males was found to be 162.51 ± 7.56 cm and in females it was 153.59 ± 5.21 cm. A study conducted by Shivakumar *et al.* among the South Indian population and Chikhalkar *et al.* among the population of Mumbai showed that mean stature was very high as compared with the present study, which was 167 ± 0.10 cm and

Estimation of Stature by Measuring Tibial Length in Young Adults

Sex		Unsta coet	ndardized fficients	Standardized coefficients	Т	SIG
		В	Standard error	Beta		
Females	(Constant)	109.023	5.198	0.478	20.973	.000
	TBL	1.262	0.147		8.586	.000
Males	(Constant)	-19.819	13.647	0.648	-1.452	.000
	TBL	4.923	0.368		13.366	.000
Total	(Constant)	58.822	5.590	0.623	10.523	.000
	TBL	2.743	0.154		17.770	.000

Table 4: Stature estimation from tibia using regression analysis among gender

Abbreviation: TBL, Tibial length.

 167.26 ± 8.494 cm, respectively ^[13, 14]. According to the study done by Bardale et al. showing that the mean stature among men was 171.6 cm and mean stature among females was 157.3 cm [12]. Rahule et al. revealed that the mean height of males was 169.97 \pm 5.71 cm and among females mean height was 154.20 \pm 7.15 cm among the tribal population of a district of Madhya Pradesh ^[15]. Gupta et al. found that mean height among the North Indian population was 168.56 cm [16]. The mean stature among males in Orissa was found to be around 162 cm and 152 cm for females in the study led by Mohanty et al. [11] and Saini et al. found mean stature in males to be 174 ± 6.57 cm and mean stature in females to be 157.53 ± 4.77 cm among the population of Rajasthan^[17]. Chandravadiya *et al.* showed that normal stature in males was 171.185 \pm 6.34 cm and in females was 159.095 ± 6.75 cm ^[18]. Studies done on a worldwide level also showed changing outcomes like in the study done by Habib et al. revealed that the mean height in Egyptian males was $174.61 \pm$ 7.34 cm and among Egyptian females was 160 ± 5.45 cm [19]. Ahmed et al. discovered that mean height among Sudanese males to be 174.71 ± 5.72 cm and 160.43 ± 5.49 cm among Sudanese females ^[20]. Carretero et al. led an examination in Spain and noticed that normal height among males and females was found to be 175.5 ± 7.0 cm and 162.1 ± 7.3 cm, he likewise discovered normal height among SH hominins, Neandertals, and early-present day people to be 163.6

cm, 160.6 cm, and 177.4 cm, respectively ^[21]. Pelin and Duyar conducted a study among the Turkish population, where the mean height among study members was 174.7 ± 88.30 cm, whereas Jervas *et al.* and Sarajlic *et al.* showed that the mean stature for the Negro population and the Bosnian population was 167.55 ± 9.00 cm and 175.24 ± 7.77 cm, respectively ^[22, 23, 24]. Trotter and Gleser discovered the mean stature among military White males to be 173.899 ± 6.626 cm, whereas in military Negros mean stature was $172.11 \ 2 \pm 8.43$ cm ^[25].

In our study, mean tibial length was found to be 36.16 \pm 1.79 cm. Mean tibia length among males was 37.04 \pm 1.0 cm, whereas among females was 35.30 \pm 1.97 cm. A statistically significant difference was found in tibial length across the gender (P < 0.05). Similar results were noticed in various other studies done in the Indian setting. In a study conducted by Gupta et al., mean tibial length was found to be 31–35 cm ^[16]. Similarly, the mean tibial length among males in the study conducted by Mohanty et al., Chandravadiya et al., Trivedi et al., and Chavan et al. were found to be 37.08 ± 2.34 cm, 37.23 ± 2.08 cm, 38.24 ± 2.343 cm, and 37.32 ± 2.18 cm, respectively. The mean tibial length among females in the study conducted by Mohanty et al., Chandravadiya et al., Trivedi et al., and Chavan et al. were found to be 35.03 ± 2.60 cm, 33.94 ± 2.06 cm, 36.064 ± 2.464 cm, and 34.44 ± 2.10 cm, respectively ^[11, 26, 27]. But in the study conducted by Saini et al, the mean tibial length was found to be a little higher, among males which was 40.90 ± 2.48 cm as compared with females which was 38.09 ± 2.39 cm^[17]. Trotter and Gleser conducted a study in 1952 among Negro and White subjects and they found that mean tibial length among Negro males and White males was 38.606 ± 0.322 cm and 37.826 ± 2.179 cm, respectively, whereas in Negro females and White females it was found to be 34.53 ± 2.098 cm and 33.18 \pm 2.091 cm, respectively ^[25]. Mahakkanukrauh *et al.* found the mean tibial length among Thai females to be 33.88 ± 1.94 cm, whereas among Thai males to be 36.10 ± 1.99 cm ^[28]. Similarly, Ahmed et al. found the tibial length to be 41.53 ± 2.09 cm and 38.31 ± 2.05 cm among Sudanese Arabs males and females, respectively ^[20]. In a study, conducted by Dayal et al. mean tibial length in South African males and females was 38.25 \pm 2.36 cm and 35.2 \pm 1.99 cm, respectively $^{[29]}.$

CONCLUSION

Based on the examination findings, we conclude that:

- Tibial length is a reliable measurement for estimating stature with quite a good correlation coefficient value of 0.623.
- The tibial length shows higher and better correlation coefficient values among males as compared with females.
- By using regression analysis, stature estimation from tibial length among males and females.
- Stature = $4.923 \times \text{Tibial length} 19.819$ (males).
- Stature = $109.023 + 1.262 \times \text{Tibial length (females)}$.

Limitations of Study

India is an immense country and has an extremely huge populace. The common conditions and financial status are typically generally extraordinary among locale/ states. Accordingly, there are clear varieties in the degree of advancement for grown-ups. Since this examination was done distinctly in one district of Karnataka, the outcome cannot be summed up by the entire populace of India. Subsequently, further examinations must be accomplished for refining the outcomes.

Conflict of interest: Nil.

Funding: Self.

Acknowledgment: This study was done as a part of a research project undertaken by the Department of Forensic Medicine, Yenepoya Medical College and Hospital.

REFERENCES

- Uhrová P, Benus R, Masnicová S, Obertová Z, Kramárová D, Kyselicová K, *et al.* Estimation of stature using hand and foot dimensions in Slovak adults. Legal Medicine 2015; 17(2):92-97.
- [2] Cordeiro C, Munoz-Barus JI, Wasterlain S, Cunha E, Vieira DN. Predicting adult stature from metatarsal length in a Portuguese population. Forensic Science International 2009; 193(1-3):131-e1.
- [3] Gaur R, Kaur K, Airi R, Jarodia K. Estimation of stature from percutaneous lengths of tibia and fibula of scheduled castes of Haryana State, India. Annals of Forensic Science and Research 2016; 3(1):1025.
- [4] Ilayperuma I, Nanayakkara G, Palahepitiya N. A model for the estimation of personal stature from the length of forearm. International Journal of Morphology 2010; 28(4):1081-86.
- [5] Bhavna NS, Nath S. Estimation of stature on the basis of measurements of the lower limb. Anthropologist Special 2007; 3:219-222.
- [6] Algotar GN, Chauhan VN, Bhise RS. Estimation of Stature from Percutaneous Length of Tibia in Natives of Gujarat. Medico Legal Update 2020; 20(2):61-66.
- [7] Radoinova D, Tenekedjiev K, Yordanov Y. Stature estimation from long bone lengths in Bulgarians. Homo 2002; 52(3):221-32.
- [8] Bardale RV, Dahodwala TM, Sonar VD. Estimation of stature from index and ring finger length. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine 2013; 35(4):353-57.

- [9] Sarajliæ N, Cihlarz Z, Klonowski EE, Selak I. Stature estimation for Bosnian male population. Bosnian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences 2006; 6(1):62.
- [10] Vallois HV. Anthropometric techniques. Current Anthropology 1965; 6(2):127-43.
- [11] Mohanty NK. Prediction of height from percutaneous tibial length amongst Oriya population. Forensic Science International 1998; 98(3):137-41.
- [12] Bardale RV, Dahodwala TM, Sonar VD. Estimation of stature from index and ring finger length. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine 2013; 35(4):353-57.
- [13] Shivakumar AH, Raju GM, Vijaynath V. Prediction of stature by right middle finger length of males among south Indian Population. Journal of Pharmaceutical and Scientific Innovation 2013; 11(4):90-93.
- [14] Chikhalkar BG, Mangaonkar AA, Nanandkar SD, Peddawad RG. Estimation of stature from measurements of long bones, hand and foot dimensions. Journal of Indian Academy of Forensic Medicine 2010; 32(4):329-33.
- [15] Rahule AS, Shekhar RB, Saleem M, Bashir MSM, Khade A, Irfanuddin MD *et al.* A Study of Correlation between Middle Finger Length and Height in a Tribal District Population of India. Journal of Contemporary Medicine and Dentistry 2013; 1(1):8-12.
- [16] Gupta P, Kumar P, Gaharwar A, Ansari H, Hussein M. Correlation of percutaneous length of tibia with body height and estimation of stature in living North Indian males. Scholars Journal of Applied Medical Sciences 2014; 2(2D):848-52.
- [17] Saini N, Chauhan S, Katara P, Parashar R. A correlational study between stature and percutaneous tibial length in adult males and females of Rajasthan. Indian Journal of Basic and Applied Medical Research 2013; 3(1):21-6.
- [18] Chandravadiya LN, Patel SM, Goda JB, Patel SV. Estimation of stature from percutaneous tibial length. International Journal of Biological and Medical Research 2013; 4(1):2752-54.
- [19] Habib SR, Kamal NN. Stature estimation from hand and phalanges lengths of Egyptians. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 2010; 17(3):156-60.

- [20] Ahmed AA. Estimation of stature using lower limb measurements in Sudanese Arabs. Journal of Forensic and Legal Medicine 2013; 20(5):483-88.
- [21] Carretero JM, Rodriguez L, Gonzalez RG, Arsuaga JL, Olivencia AG, Lorenzo C *et al.* Stature estimation from complete long bones in the Middle Pleistocene humans from the Sima de los Huesos, Sierra de Atapuerca (Spain). Journal of Human Evolution 2012; 62(2):242-55.
- [22] Pelin IC, Duyar I. Estimating stature from tibia length: a comparison of methods. Journal of Forensic Sciences 2003; 48(4):708-12.
- [23] Ekezie J. Foot Anthropometry; A forensic and prosthetic application. International Journal of Science and Research (IJSR) 2013; 4(6):738-46.
- [24] Sarajlic N, Cihlarz Z, Klonowski EE, Selak I. Stature Estimation for Bosnian Male Population. Bosnian Journal of Basic Medical Sciences 2006; 6(1):62-67.
- [25] Trotter M, Gleser GC. Estimation of Stature from Long Bones of American Whites and Negroes. American Journal of Physical Anthropology 1952; 10:463-514.
- [26] Trivedi A, Saxena S, Morya R, Jehan M, Bhadkaria V. Stature estimation using percutaneous tibial length in people of Gwalior region. IOSR Journal of Dental and Medical Sciences 2014; 13(5):65-70.
- [27] Chavan SK, Chavan KD, Mumbre SS, Makhani CS. Stature and percutaneus tibial length: A correlational study in Maharashtrian population. Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine and Pathology 2009; 2(3):109-12.
- [28] Mahakkanukrauh P, Khanpetch P, Prasitwattanseree S, Vichairat K, Troy Case D. Stature estimation from long bone lengths in a Thai population. Forensic Science International 2011: 279.e1-279.e7.
- [29] Dayal MR, Steyn M, Kuykendall KL. Stature estimation from bones of South African whites. South African Journal of Science 2008; 104(3):124-28.

How to cite this article: Tiwari P, Datta A. Estimation of Stature by Measuring Tibial Length in Young Adults: A Community-Based Approach. Ind Internet J Forensic Med Toxicol 2022; 20(3&4): 66-71.